IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

LEE D. CRAYTON #918103	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:10cv182
DAWN E. GROUNDS, ET AL.	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Lee Crayton, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

After review of the pleadings, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report on February 17, 2011, recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed. The Magistrate Judge noted that Crayton had an extensive history of filing frivolous lawsuits and was consequently subject to the "three-strikes" bar of 28 U.S.C. §1915(g). In this case, Crayton did not pay the full filing fee or show that he was in imminent danger of serious physical injury. The Magistrate Judge recommended that the lawsuit be dismissed.

A copy of this Report was sent to Crayton at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has carefully reviewed the pleadings and documents in this case, as well as the

Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the

Magistrate Judge is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is hereby ADOPTED as the opinion of

the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (docket no.

7) is hereby DENIED. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED with prejudice

as to the refiling of another in forma pauperis lawsuit raising the same claims as herein presented,

but without prejudice to the refiling of this lawsuit without seeking in forma pauperis status and

upon payment of the statutory \$350.00 filing fee. It is further

ORDERED that should the Plaintiff pay the full filing fee within 15 days after the date of

entry of final judgment in this case, he shall be allowed to proceed in the lawsuit as through the full

fee had been paid from the outset. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby

DENIED.

SIGNED this 21st day of March, 2011.

DAVID FOLSOM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2